IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Andrew Marshall McElrath, #336981,) (C/A No.	8:14-cv-00785-BHH-KDW
Plaintiff,)		
VS.)		ODDED
Chris Golden; Clay Conyers; Anthony Cotton; Thomas Burgess; Christopher)		ORDER
Voll; Sheriff John Skipper; Lt. Chris)		
Vaughn, HA Barnett, all in their individual and official capacities, and Anderson)		
County Sheriff's Office,)		
Defendants.)		

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Motion for Issuance of a Subpoena, ECF No. 135, Motion to Strike, ECF No. 136, and Motion for an Order Compelling Response, ECF No. 139. All of Plaintiff's Motions relate to Defendants' purported failure to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, ECF No. 115. Defendants have responded to Plaintiff's Motions. ECF Nos. 141, 143, and 152.

Plaintiff's Motions are denied as moot. The case Docket reflects that Defendants filed a Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on October 31, 2014. ECF No. 124. Furthermore, Defendants maintain that they served Plaintiff with their Response by mail on October 31, 2014, ECF No. 143, and Defendants' certificate of service, ECF No. 143-1, indicates that Defendants' served Plaintiff with their Memorandum in Opposition of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment on October 31, 2014. Additionally, Defendants provided the court with an Affidavit from Beth Tidwell, the Administrative Assistant to the Agency Mailroom Coordinator with the S.C. Department of Corrections, who avers that

Plaintiff received a legal correspondence from Defense Counsel on November 4, 2014, and

Plaintiff signed to acknowledge receipt of the mail on November 5, 2014. ECF No. 143-2.

However, Tidwell indicated that the prison mailroom staff sent the correspondence to the

Correspondence Review Committee at SCDC Headquarters for review because they observed

what they believed to be questionable content. Id. ¶ 4. The approved correspondence was sent

back to Ridgeland Correctional Institution for Plaintiff's receipt on November 25, 2014. Id. ¶ 5.

Furthermore, in his Motion for Default Judgment, Plaintiff indicates that he has now received

Defendants' Response. ECF No. 154. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motions, ECF Nos. 135, 136, and

139 are denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

January 14, 2015 Florence, South Carolina Kaymani D. West

United States Magistrate Judge

Haymai D. Hest