



determination of those portions of the R & R to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

No party has filed objections to the R & R. In the absence of objections to the R & R, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199-200 (4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation'" (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note)).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error. Accordingly, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the R & R [ECF No. 127] of the Magistrate Judge. It is therefore **ORDERED** that Defendants' motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment [ECF No. 109] is **GRANTED** and that this case is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. The Court directs the Clerk to **CLOSE** this case.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Florence, South Carolina  
February 23, 2016

s/ R. Bryan Harwell  
R. Bryan Harwell  
United States District Judge