IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Kalvin Dontay Hunt,) Civil Action No. 8:15-4386-TMC-JDA
Plaintiff,	
VS.	ORDER
Beaufort County Detention Center medical; Phillip Foot; Karen Singleton; Major Allen;	
Lt. Grant, Defendants.)))

Plaintiff, Kalvin Dontay Hunt, proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civ. Rule 73.02, DSC, this matter was referred to a magistrate judge for pretrial handling. Before the court is the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report"), recommending that Defendant Karen Singleton be dismissed from this action without prejudice. (ECF No. 46). Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report. (ECF No. 46 at 2). However, Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report, and the time to do so has now run.

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case, the court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report (ECF No. 46) and incorporates it herein. It is therefore **ORDERED** that Defendant Karen Singleton is hereby **DISMISSED** from Plaintiff's action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain
United States District Judge

March 28, 2016 Anderson, South Carolina