

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Teresa Maney,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Oconee County School District,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 8:19-CV-742-BHH

**ORDER AND OPINION**

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. On April 16, 2019, Magistrate Judge McDonald issued a Report and Recommendation (“Report”) recommending that Plaintiff Teresa Maney’s (“Plaintiff”) motion to remand (ECF No. 13) be granted, and this matter be remanded to the Court of Common Pleas for Oconee County, South Carolina. (See ECF No. 17.)

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. See *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court may also receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *Id.* The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made.

Defendant Oconee County School District filed no objections and the time for doing so expired on April 30, 2019. In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report, this Court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.'" *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 and advisory committee's note).

Here, because no objections have been filed, the Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations for clear error. Finding none, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that Plaintiff's motion to remand (ECF No. 13) should be granted.

Accordingly, the Report (ECF No. 17) is adopted and incorporated herein by reference. Plaintiff's motion to remand (ECF No. 13) is GRANTED, and this case remanded to the Court of Common Pleas for Oconee County, South Carolina.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/Bruce Howe Hendricks  
United States District Judge

July 24, 2019  
Greenville, South Carolina

\*\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.