Gallishaw v. Reed et al Doc. 36

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

Joshua L. Gallishaw, #251362,) C/A NO. 9:09-2566-CMC-BM
Plaintiff,))
-versus-	OPINION and ORDER
Warden Raymond Reed, Manning CI;)
Major C. Marsh; Sgt. Jerrey Corum;)
Warden NFN Stevenson, BRCI; J.C.)
Brown; Dr. Jon Ozmint; Lt. NFN Gollach;)
Ms. NFN Whittaker; Mrs. NFN Taylor; and)
Ann Hallman,)
)
Defendants.)
)

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint alleging violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On May 28, 2010, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted and this matter dismissed with prejudice. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on June 11, 2010.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is

made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection.

See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that

"in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but

instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept

the recommendation.") (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's objections, the court agrees with the

conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and

Recommendation by reference in this Order.

Plaintiff's objections are, for the most part, merely repetitive of the arguments which were

assessed and rejected by the Magistrate Judge, offering no persuasive legal authority to indicate the

Magistrate Judge erred in his analysis of Plaintiff's complaint. Conclusory accusations without

more do not offer an escape from summary judgment.

Defendants' motion for summary judgment is **granted** and this matter is dismissed with

prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina

June 24, 2010

 $C:\locuments \ and \ Settings\ (Guest\ Local \ Settings\ (Temp\ notes FFF692\ 09-2566 \ Gallishawa \ v. \ Reed \ adopt \ rr \ gr \ sumigm. wpd$

2