

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
BEAUFORT DIVISION

Gregory Matthew Cleveland,	)	C.A. No. 9:11-cv-1335-TLW-BM
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
United States of America,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	
_____	)	

The petitioner, a pre-trial detainee at the Spartanburg County Detention Facility in Spartanburg, South Carolina, seeks a writ of mandamus to direct the United States to indict him on federal criminal charges. (Doc. # 1). This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Marchant recommends that the Petition for Writ of Mandamus be dismissed without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file an Answer or return. (Doc. # 12). Petitioner has filed objections to the Report. (Doc. # 14).

In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections. . . . The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which no objections are addressed. While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not

objections have been filed, in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F.Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992) (citations omitted).

In light of this standard, the Court has reviewed, de novo, the Report and the objections thereto. The Court accepts the Report.

**THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 12), petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED** (Doc. # 14); and the Petition for Writ of Mandamus is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file an Answer or return.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Terry L. Wooten

**TERRY L. WOOTEN**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

July 18, 2011

Florence, South Carolina