

Allyson Kaye Scott,

Plaintiff,

v.

Carolyn W. Colvin,
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.: 9:14-1139-BHH

OPINION AND ORDER

On April 1, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded for further administrative action. (ECF No. 21.) On April 20, 2015, the Commissioner filed "Defendant's Notice of Not Filing Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge." (ECF No. 23.)

[Dockets.Justia.com](https://www.dockets.justia.com)

the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to him with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must “only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir.2005).

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein by reference. The decision of the Commissioner to deny benefits is **reversed** and the action is **remanded** for further administrative action consistent with this order and the Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Bruce Howe Hendricks
United States District Judge

April 21, 2015
Greenville, South Carolina