IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Parent and Natural Guardian, Sacora L. Wise,	CIVII Action No.: 9:14-1883-BHH
) Plaintiff,)	OPINION AND ORDER
v.)	
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rules 73.02(B)(2)(a) and 83.VII.02 for the District of South Carolina. The plaintiff C.N.H.W., a minor, by and through her Parent and Natural Guardian, Sacora L. Wise ("the plaintiff"), brought this action seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying the plaintiff's claim for childs supplemental security income.

On April 21, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which she recommended that the Commissioner's decision be affirmed. (ECF No. 20.) Neither party filed objections and the time for doing so expired on May 8, 2015.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part,

the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to him with

instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district

court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is

no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond

v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir.2005).

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of

the Magistrate Judge. The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation and

incorporates it herein by reference. The decision of the Commissioner to deny benefits

is **affirmed** without objection.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/ Bruce Howe Hendricks</u> United States District Judge

May 14, 2015 Greenville, South Carolina