

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
BEAUFORT DIVISION

Clinton Lee Garrett,

Plaintiff,

v.

Dr. Marten, Tracy Krien, Marshall Stowers,
Ronald Hollister, and John Vandermosen,

Defendants.

C/A No. 9:15-cv-03623-TLW

ORDER

Plaintiff Clinton Lee Garrett, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF No. 1. The matter now comes before this Court for review of a Report and Recommendation (R&R) filed by Magistrate Judge Marchant, ECF No. 11, to whom this case was assigned pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), DSC. In the R&R, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's complaint. ECF No. 11. Objections to the R&R were due on December 3, 2015, and Plaintiff has not filed objections.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's R&R to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that R&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the R&R, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199–200 (4th Cir. 1983).

This Court carefully reviewed the R&R in this case. Noting that Plaintiff filed no objections, the R&R, ECF No. 11, is hereby **ACCEPTED**. Therefore, for the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge in the R&R, Plaintiff's claims are hereby **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten _____
Terry L. Wooten
Chief United States District Judge

May 2, 2017
Columbia, South Carolina