

Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court need not conduct a de novo review, however, “when a party makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the court to a specific error in the [Magistrate Judge’s] proposed findings and recommendations.” *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on August 16, 2017. ECF No. 25. On August 25, 2017, the Clerk of Court filed Plaintiff’s purported objections to the Report (Plaintiff’s Letter). ECF No. 27.

“A document filed *pro se* is ‘to be liberally construed.’” *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007) (quoting *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 106 (1976)). Courts are not, however, required to “conjure up questions never squarely presented to them” or seek out arguments for a party. *Beaudett v. City of Hampton*, 775 F.2d 1274, 1278 (4th Cir. 1985).

Even when construed liberally and in the light most favorable to Plaintiff, Plaintiff’s Letter fails to set forth any specific objections to the Report. Instead, Plaintiff’s Letter consists of a recitation of various procedural rules and an attachment containing complaints about prison conditions. Plaintiff’s Letter is rambling and incomprehensible, and any meaningful counter to the well-reasoned conclusions in the Report is absent. Because Plaintiff’s Letter fails to allege any specific objection to the Report, the Court need not—and will not—address the content of Plaintiff’s Letter further.

After a thorough review of the Report, Plaintiff’s Letter, and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court Plaintiff’s Complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT**

PREJUDICE and without issuance and service of process, and Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 13th day of November 2017 in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

MARY GEIGER LEWIS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.