

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Glen Strickland, Jr.,)	Civil Action No. 9:18-819-BHH
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	ORDER AND OPINION
Lt. Bennett, Sgt. Creel, Sgt. Fish, Lt.)	
Peay, Lt. Blackburn, Capt. Golden, Sgt.)	
Barns, Lt. Wessinger, Sgt. Bilu, Lt.)	
Papella, Sgt. Sidney, Lt. Roe, Sgt.)	
Anderson, Sgt. Brown, Sgt. Rucker, Ofc.)	
Bunkley,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Glen Strickland, Jr. ("Plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se*, brought this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C § 1983. (ECF. No. 1.) In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant, for pretrial handling. The matter is now before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("Report") issued by the Magistrate Judge on August 8, 2018. (ECF No. 20.) In his Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court dismiss Defendants Lt. Peay, Sgt. Barns, Lt. Wessinger, Lt. Roe, Sgt. Anderson, Sgt. Brown, and Sgt. Rucker as party Defendants in this case, without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Objections to the Report were due by August 27, 2018. Neither Defendants nor Plaintiff has filed any objections.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the

Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must “only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

After a careful review of the record, the applicable law, and the Report of the Magistrate Judge, the Court finds no clear error. Accordingly, the Court ACCEPTS and incorporates the Report (ECF No. 20) by reference into this Order. It is therefore ORDERED that the complaint in this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process as to Defendants Lt. Peay, Sgt. Barns, Lt. Wessinger, Lt. Roe, Sgt. Anderson, Sgt. Brown, and Sgt. Rucker. Only Defendants Lt. Bennett, Sgt. Creel, Sgt. Fish, Lt. Blackburn, Capt. Golden, Sgt. Bilu, Lt. Papella, Sgt. Sidney, and Ofc. Bunkley remain. This matter is returned to the Magistrate Judge for further pretrial proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Bruce Howe Hendricks
United States District Judge

October 19, 2018
Greenville, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.