

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
BEAUFORT DIVISION

Bernard Lamar Mack,

PLAINTIFF

v.

NFN Jackson, et al.,

DEFENDANTS

Case No. 9:18-cv-01081-TLW

**Order**

Plaintiff Bernard Lamar Mack, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF No. 1. The matter now comes before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) filed by the magistrate judge to whom this case was assigned. ECF No. 17.

After reviewing the Complaint, the magistrate judge issued a proper form order and mailed it to Plaintiff, but the order was returned, with the envelope marked “return to sender[;] attempted – not known[;] unable to forward.” In the Report, the magistrate judge recommends that Plaintiff’s case be dismissed under Rule 41(b) due to his failure to comply with a prior order to keep his mailing address current, despite being informed of the potential consequences of failing to do so. Plaintiff did not file objections to the Report. This matter is now ripe for decision.

The Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report, the Court is not required to give any

explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983). In such a case, “a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report. For the reasons stated by the magistrate judge, the Report, ECF No. 17, is **ACCEPTED**. This action is hereby **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*s/Terry L. Wooten*  
Terry L. Wooten  
Senior United States District Judge

April 15, 2020  
Columbia, South Carolina