

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
 BEAUFORT DIVISION

Brian Roosevelt Teamer,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Civil Action No. 9:18-cv-1271-TMC
v.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
Nancy A. Berryhill,	)	
Acting Commissioner of Social Security	)	
Administration,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

Plaintiff, Brian Roosevelt Teamer, brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner”) denying his claim for Disability Insurance Benefits (“DIB”) and Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) pursuant to the Social Security Act. (ECF No. 1). This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“Report”) of the United States Magistrate Judge, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a) (D.S.C.). (ECF No. 15). The Report recommends that the Commissioner’s decision be reversed and remanded for further proceedings consistent with the Report. *Id.* Plaintiff, who is represented by counsel, has not filed objections to the Report. On May 7, 2019, the Commissioner filed a notice of her intent not to file any objections to the Report. (ECF No. 16).

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only

satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

After a thorough and careful review of the record, the court adopts the Report of the Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 15), which is incorporated herein by reference. The Commissioner’s final decision is **REVERSED AND REMANDED** pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further administrative review as set forth in the Report.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Timothy M. Cain  
Timothy M. Cain  
United States District Judge

Anderson, South Carolina  
May 8, 2019