



jurisdiction of all civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, . . . and is between citizens of different States.” 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1). “A case falls within the federal district court’s ‘original’ diversity ‘jurisdiction’ only if diversity of citizenship among the parties is complete, i.e., only if there is no plaintiff and no defendant who are citizens of the same State.” *Wis. Dept. of Corr. v. Schacht*, 524 U.S. 381, 388 (1998) (citations omitted). Here, Reedom is a citizen of the state of Louisiana. Defendant Crappel is a citizen of Louisiana while defendant State Farm is a citizen of Illinois. Docket 1 at 1-2. Because both Reedom and defendant Crappel are citizens of Louisiana, diversity of citizenship between the parties is not complete, and this court lacks jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that this case is dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Dated September 12, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

*/s/ Karen E. Schreier*

---

KAREN E. SCHREIER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE