UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT GREENEVILLE

DONNY THOMAS and)	
SANDRA THOMAS)	
)	
v.)	NO. 2:09-CV-254
)	
FRANCIS X. SANTORE, JR.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the defendant's Motion to Dismiss, [Doc. 5], pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1). Because the defendants facially attack subject matter jurisdiction, this Court must accept the plaintiff's material allegations in the complaint as true. *United States v. Ritchie*, 15 F.3d 592, 598 (6th Cir. 1994). The plaintiff has the burden of proving subject matter jurisdiction in order to survive a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1). *Madison-Hughes v. Shalala*, 80 F.3d 1121, 1130 (6th Cir. 1996). Because lack of subject matter jurisdiction is a non-waivable, fatal defect, it may be raised by any party at anytime, including being raised *sua sponte* by this Court. *Von Dunser v. Aronoff*, 915 F.2d 1071, 1074 (6th Cir. 1990).

The Complaint alleges that the defendant is a citizen of the State of Oklahoma. The defendant argues that because the plaintiffs are citizens of the State of Tennessee and because he is a citizen of the State of Tennessee, contrary to the allegation

in the Complaint, then diversity of citizenship does not exist. In support of the defen-

dant's motion, he filed an affidavit stating that he is a citizen of the State of Tennessee.

He also attached a copy of his Tennessee driver's license and his Tennessee voter's

registration card. He admits that he owns property in Oklahoma; however, it is not his

domicile. The plaintiffs did not file a response to the defendant's motion to dismiss.

Again, the plaintiffs have the burden of proving subject matter jurisdiction

in order to survive a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1). Madison-Hughes, 80

F.3d at 1130. The plaintiffs failed to file a response, thus, they did not attempt to carry

this burden. Furthermore, "[f]ailure to respond to a motion may be deemed a waiver of

any opposition to that relief sought." E.D.TN. LR 7.2. In addition, the defendant's

affidavit establishes that he is a citizen of the State of Tennessee. As such, complete

diversity of citizenship does not exist, and this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the defendant's motion, [Doc. 5], is **GRANTED**, and the case against the

defendant is hereby **DISMISSED**.

ENTER:

s/J. RONNIE GREER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2