Cook v. Powell Doc. 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE at KNOXVILLE

MARILYN POWELL COOK,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Case No. 3:13-cv-311
V.)	
)	Judge Mattice
SUSAN POWELL,)	Magistrate Judge Guyton
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

ORDER

On June 7, 2013, United States Magistrate Judge Bruce Guyton filed his Report and Recommendation (Doc. 3) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Magistrate Judge Guyton recommended that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed due to its jurisdictional deficiencies. (*Id.*).

Plaintiff has filed timely objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. (Doc. 4). However, Plaintiff's objections are merely reiterations of the original issues raised in her Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis and her Complaint. (Compare Docs. 1, 2 with Doc. 4). Further analysis of these same issues would be cumulative and is unwarranted in light of Magistrate Judge Guyton's well-reasoned and well-supported Report and Recommendation, in which he fully addressed Plaintiff's arguments and explained why jurisdiction is not proper in this Court. Nonetheless, the Court has conducted a de novo review of the record, specifically including those portions to which Plaintiff has objected, and the Court agrees Magistrate Judge Guyton's analysis and conclusions.

Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS and ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Guyton's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations pursuant to § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b); Plaintiff's Objections (Doc. 4) are **OVERRULED**; Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 1) is **DENIED AS MOOT**; and this case is

hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of December, 2013.

/s/ Harry S. Mattice, Jr.

HARRY S. MATTICE, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2