Taylor v. Kidwell Doc. 71

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

RONALD TA	AYLOR,)
	Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 3:13-CV-369
BRADLEY K	XIDWELL,)
	Defendant.)
RONALD TA	AYLOR,)
	Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 3:13-CV-370
JASON STOI	KES,)
	Defendant.)
RONALD TA	AYLOR,)
	Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 3:13-CV-371
RICHARD S	CARBROUGH,)
	Defendant.)
RONALD TA	AYLOR,)
	Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 3:13-CV-372
JASON LAW	SON,)
	Defendant.)

ORDER

This case is before the court on the Report and Recommendation [3:13-CV-369, doc. 70; 3:13-CV-370, doc. 66; 3:13-CV-371, doc. 66; 3:13-CV-372, doc. 66] filed by United States Magistrate Judge H. Bruce Guyton on April 28, 2015. There have been no timely objections filed to this Report and Recommendation, and enough time has passed to treat any objections as having been waived. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Magistrate Judge Guyton recommends that plaintiff's "Request for a restraining order by the court [sic]" [3:13-CV-369, doc. 58; 3:13-CV-370, doc. 54; 3:13-CV-371, doc. 54; 3:13-CV-372, doc. 54] be denied.

After careful review, the court is in complete agreement with Magistrate Judge Guyton's conclusion that plaintiff's motion should be denied. The court therefore **ACCEPTS IN WHOLE** the Report and Recommendation [3:13-CV-369, doc. 70; 3:13-CV-370, doc. 66; 3:13-CV-371, doc. 66; 3:13-CV-372, doc. 66] under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). For the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, which the court adopts and incorporates into this ruling, plaintiff's "Request for a restraining order by the court [sic]" [3:13-CV-369, doc. 58; 3:13-CV-370, doc. 54; 3:13-CV-371, doc. 54; 3:13-CV-372, doc. 54] is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ENTER:

s/ Leon Jordan

United States District Judge