

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

GREG ADKISSON, et al.,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 v.) No.: 3:13-CV-505-TAV-HBG
 JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)
 Defendant.)

Lead case consolidated with

KEVIN THOMPSON, et al.,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 v.) No.: 3:13-CV-666-TAV-HBG
 JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)
 Defendant.)

as consolidated with

JOE CUNNINGHAM, et al.,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 v.) No.: 3:14-CV-20-TAV-HBG
 JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)
 Defendant.)

BILL ROSE,)
 Plaintiff,)
 v.) No.: 3:15-CV-17-TAV-HBG
 JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)
 Defendant.)

CRAIG WILKINSON, et al.,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 v.) No.: 3:15-CV-274-TAV-HBG
 JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)
 Defendant.)

ANGIE SHELTON, as wife and next of kin)
 on behalf of Mike Shelton, et al.,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 v.) No.: 3:15-CV-420-TAV-HBG
 JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)
 Defendant.)

JOHNNY CHURCH,)
 Plaintiff,)
 v.) No.: 3:15-CV-460-TAV-HBG
 JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)
 Defendant.)

DONALD R. VANGUILDER, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:15-CV-462-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
JUDY IVENS, as sister and next of kin,)	
on behalf of JEAN NANCE, deceased,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:16-CV-635-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
PAUL RANDY FARROW,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:16-CV-636-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		

ORDER

Before the Court is the parties’ Joint Status Report Regarding Discovery Relating to Individual Plaintiffs [Doc. 522]. Having reviewed this report and considered the parties’ arguments set forth therein, the Court hereby **ORDERS** the parties to complete all fact discovery in each of these cases by **November 13, 2020**. This deadline will not be extended absent extraordinary circumstances. In light of this firm deadline, the Court will amend its standard procedure for the resolution of discovery disputes: If a discovery dispute arises, the parties are **DIRECTED** to confer with United States Magistrate Judge H. Bruce Guyton.¹

¹ The parties are advised that delays occasioned by discovery disputes would not constitute an extraordinary circumstance for purposes of this Order, nor would the press of other business or work-related demands alone.

The Court will set a deadline for expert discovery in a future order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Thomas A. Varlan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE