

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT KNOXVILLE

GREG ADKISSON, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,
Defendant.

No.: 3:13-CV-505-TAV-HBG

Lead Case Consolidated with

KEVIN THOMPSON, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,
Defendant.

No.: 3:13-CV-666-TAV-HBG

as consolidated with

JOE CUNNINGHAM, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,
Defendant.

No.: 3:14-CV-20-TAV-HBG

BILL ROSE,
Plaintiff,
v.
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,
Defendant.

No.: 3:15-CV-17-TAV-HBG

CRAIG WILKINSON, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,
Defendant.

No.: 3:15-CV-274-TAV-HBG

ANGIE SHELTON, as wife and next of
kin on behalf of Mike Shelton, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,
Defendant.

No.: 3:15-CV-420-TAV-HBG

JOHNNY CHURCH,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:15-CV-460-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	
)	
DONALD R. VANGUILDER, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:15-CV-462-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	
)	
JUDY IVENS, as sister and next of kin,)	
on behalf of JEAN NANCE, deceased,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:16-CV-635-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	
)	
PAUL RANDY FARROW,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:16-CV-636-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

ORDER


This case is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Rules of this Court, and Standing Order 13-02.

Now before the Court is the Motion to Quash [Doc. 645], filed by non-party Tom D. Kilgore (“Kilgore”) on October 21, 2020. Kilgore seeks to quash the deposition subpoena issued to and served on him by Plaintiff’s counsel, stating that subjecting him to a deposition on irrelevant issues relating to Phase II upon which he has limited knowledge would be unduly burdensome. Additionally, Kilgore includes an affidavit wherein he states that he recently suffered a stroke on January 29, 2019, which has impacted his memory. [Doc. 646].

Plaintiffs subsequently filed a Motion to Withdraw Subpoena of Tom D. Kilgore [Doc. 678], as well as a Notice [Doc. 679] that they do not oppose the Motion to Quash [Doc. 645]. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' Motion to Withdraw the Subpoena [Doc. 678] is **GRANTED**, and the Subpoena of Tom D. Kilgore is **WITHDRAWN**.¹ Therefore, Kilgore's Motion to Quash [Doc. 645] is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ENTER:


United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The Court notes that the executed subpoena of Kilgore was not filed by Plaintiffs before the Court. *See* [Doc. 647-1].