

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
at WINCHESTER

MARY ANNE AYENDE,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:08-cv-19
Judge Mattice

ORDER

United States Magistrate William B. Carter filed his Report and Recommendation [Court Doc. 14] pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Plaintiff has filed timely objections. (Court Doc. 15.)

The Court has reviewed *de novo* those portion of Magistrate Judge Carter's Report and Recommendation to which an objection has been made. Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 72(b). The Court finds that Plaintiff's objections raise no new arguments but are simply a reargument of issues previously raised on summary judgment and which were fully addressed in the Report and Recommendation. The Court finds that further analysis of these same arguments would be merely cumulative and is unwarranted in light of Magistrate Judge Carter's well-reasoned and well-supported Report and Recommendation.

Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Carter's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations pursuant to § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b). Plaintiff's objections [Court Doc. 14] are **OVERRULED**. Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Administrative Record [Court Doc. 10] is **DENIED**. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Court Doc. 12] is **GRANTED**. The Commissioner's denial of benefits is

AFFIRMED and the instant action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. The Clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of March, 2009.

/s/Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE