

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
at WINCHESTER

VICTORIA RUTH ASHLEY,	)	
	)	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	)	
	)	Case No. 4:12-cv-86
v.	)	
	)	Judge Mattice
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	)	Magistrate Judge Carter
	)	
<i>Defendant.</i>	)	
	)	

**ORDER**

On January 22, 2014, United States Magistrate Judge William B. Carter filed his Report and Recommendation (Doc. 14) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Magistrate Judge Carter recommended that (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Doc. 11) be denied; (2) Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 12) be granted; (3) the Decision of the Commissioner be affirmed; and (4) this action be dismissed.

Plaintiff has filed no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, the Court has conducted a reviewed the Report and Recommendation, as well as the record, and it agrees with Magistrate Judge Carter's well-reasoned conclusions.

---

<sup>1</sup> Magistrate Judge Carter specifically advised Plaintiff that She had 14 days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive his right to appeal. (Doc. 14 at 16 n.1); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see also* *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148-51 (1985) (noting that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings"). Even taking into account the three additional days for service provided by Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d), the period in which Plaintiff could timely file any objections has now passed.

