

RECEIVED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2012

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MID. DIST. TENN.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

DANNY RAY MEEKS, et al.,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF)
CORRECTION, et al.,)

Defendants.)

No. 1:07-cv-0013
JUDGE HAYNES
JURY DEMAND

QUNSK
This motion is
deemed a motion to
reconsider and
is DENIED.

Will [Signature]
3-26-12

MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL
PURSUANT TO FED.R.CIV.P., 59(a)(2)

COMES NOW THE PLAINTIFF, Danny Ray Meeks, pro se, as an indigent, incarcerated litigant and pursuant to Fed. Rules of Civil Proc., 59(a)(2), moves this District Court for a new trial or for an Amendment to the Judgment by the taking of additional testimony, amend the findings of fact and conclusions of law or make new ones, and direct the entry of a new judgment.

In support of this Motion the Plaintiff relies upon the following facts contained in the Court records:

Plaintiff contends that the Court has, without notice to the Plaintiff, abandoned the previous acceptance of the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge, John S. Bryant. D.E.#220. It is obvious, per the ORDER D.E.# 386 and Memorandum, D.E.# 385, that Plaintiff has not received, "the factual contentions viewed in the light most favorable to the party opposing the motion for summary judgment. Duchon v. Cajon Co., 791 F.2d 43, 46 (6th Cir. 1986). Here the Plaintiff has presented the Court with the Affidavit of Tenn. Prison Inmate, Michael A. Kelley, #465781, dated December 30, 2011.