

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
COLUMBIA DIVISION**

**DYLAN J. TREVINO, A Minor, Suing
By His Next Friend and Guardian,
DIANA TREVINO, and DIANA
TREVINO, Individually,**)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

**BLITZ U.S.A., INC.; LAM 2011
HOLDINGS, LLC, f/k/a BLITZ
HOLDINGS, LLC; KINDERHOOK
CAPITAL FUND II, L.P.; BLITZ
ACQUISITION HOLDINGS, INC.;
BLITZ ACQUISITION, LLC; and
BLITZ RE HOLDINGS, LLC,**)

Defendants.)

Civil Action No: 1:10-cv-00115

JUDGE HAYNES

JURY DEMANDED

*DAVEK
This motion
is GRANTED
will file
with
1-17-12*

**DEFENDANT KINDERHOOK CAPITAL FUND II, L.P.'S
AMENDED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY MEMORANDUM**

On December 22, 2011, Defendant Kinderhook Capital Fund II, L.P. filed a Motion to transfer Plaintiffs' veil piercing claim to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1412 and 28 U.S.C. § 1404 or, in the alternative, to stay the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) and the Court's inherent power to manage its docket. On January 9, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Response to Defendant's Motion. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.01, Defendant seeks leave of Court to file the Reply Memorandum attached hereto as Exhibit A.¹

¹ Our prior Motion mistakenly indicated that Plaintiffs' Response was untimely. We apologize for the error and have attached a revised Reply Memorandum.