IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE COLUMBIA DIVISION

BENJAMIN SOWELL,)	
Plaintiff,)))	Case No. 1:13-0028 Chief Judge Haynes
v.	j	<i>,</i>
SHERIFF ENOCH GEORGE, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	ORDER	

Upon review of the file, the Clerk of Court mailed a copy of the Order granting Plaintiff's

 $application \ to \ proceed \ \textit{in forma pauper is} \ to \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ on \ April \ 11,2013. \ (Docket Entry \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ on \ April \ 11,2013. \ (Docket \ Entry \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ On \ April \ 11,2013. \ (Docket \ Entry \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ On \ April \ 11,2013. \ (Docket \ Entry \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ On \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ On \ April \ 11,2013. \ (Docket \ Entry \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ On \ April \ 11,2013. \ (Docket \ Entry \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ On \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Sowell \ On \ Plaintiff Benjamin \ Plaintiff$

Nos. 3 and 4). The copy of the Order mailed to Plaintiff Benjamin Sowell was returned as "inmate no

longer incarcerated at the Maury County Jail." (Docket Entry Nos. 6 and 7).

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), service is effective upon mailing to the party's last known address.

It is a party's responsibility to inform the Court of his address so as to enable the Court to inform all

parties of matters arising in the litigation. Downs v. Pyburn, No. 3:87-0471 (M.D. Tenn. Order filed

September 4, 1987). Plaintiff Benjamin Sowell has failed to inform the Court of his new address or

refused to claim mailings from the Court, and the Court is unable to conduct the necessary proceedings

in this action.

Accordingly, the claims of Plaintiff Benjamin Sowell are **DISMISSED** without prejudice under

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute.

It is so **ORDERED**.

ENTERED this the 6 day of May, 2013.

WILLIAM J HAYNES, JR

Chief Judge

United States District Court