

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
COLUMBIA DIVISION**

PATRICK WADE HILL,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 v.)
)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Respondent.)

**NO. 1:16-cv-00043
CHIEF JUDGE CRENSHAW**

ORDER

Pending before the Court are the Petitioner’s Motion To Vacate, Set Aside, Or Correct Sentence In Accordance With 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. No. 1); the Government’s Response (Doc. No. 5); the Government’s Supplemental Brief (Doc. No. 8); the Petitioner’s Reply (Doc. No. 10); and the Petitioner’s Supplemental Pleading (Doc. No. 11). For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, the Motion To Vacate (Doc. No. 1) is **DENIED**, and this action is **DISMISSED**.

This Order shall constitute the judgment in this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58.

Should the Petitioner give timely notice of an appeal from this Order, and the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, such notice shall be treated as an application for a certificate of appealability, 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), which will not issue because the Petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Castro v. United States, 310 F.3d 900 (6th Cir. 2002).

IT IS SO ORDERED.



WAVERLY D. CRENSHAW, JR.
Chief United States District Judge