Choate v. Arms Doc. 99

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHEASTERN DIVISION

DERRICK JUSTIN CHOATE,)
Plaintiff,)
)
V.) No. 2:16-cv-00069
) Chief Judge Crenshaw
PHIL ARMS,)
)
Defendant.)
	ORDER

For the reasons explained in the accompanying Memorandum, the Court hereby rules as follows:

- (1) The Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 89) is **ADOPTED**;
- (2) Phil Arms' Motion for *De Novo* Determination (Doc. No. 91), construed as objections to the Report and Recommendation, is **OVERRULED**; and
 - (3) Phil Arms' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 24) is **DENIED**.

In light of these rulings, Derrick Choate's Request for Extension of Time (Doc. No. 96) to respond to Phil Arm's Motion is **DENIED AS MOOT**. This case is returned to Magistrate Judge Brown for further pretrial case management and the setting of a projected trial date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

WAVERLY D. \mathbb{Q} ENSHAW, JR. \mathbb{Q}

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE