

ORDER:
Motion granted.

s/ John S. Bryant
U.S. Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

<hr/>)	
)	
JAMES BEARDEN AND SHEILA)	Case No. 3:09-cv-01035
BEARDEN, individually and on behalf)	
of all others similarly situated,)	Judge Trauger
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Magistrate Judge Bryant
v.)	
)	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.)	
)	COMPLEX LITIGATION
Defendant.)	
)	
<hr/>)	

**PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS
SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.01(b), Plaintiffs James and Sheila Bearden respectfully move this Court for leave to file a Reply in Support of Its Second Motion to Compel Production of Documents. A copy of Plaintiffs’ Reply Memorandum is filed contemporaneously herewith as Attachment 1.

A reply memorandum is both necessary and appropriate to address inaccuracies raised and attacks lodged by Defendant in Defendant’s Response filed on March 17, 2011. A Reply will aid the Court in its resolution of the pending motion because it corrects inaccurate statements made in the Response and responds to issues of law that were raised by Defendants in their Response.

For the foregoing reasons, and based on the entire record in this matter, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant Plaintiffs have to file the Reply Memorandum and that an Order deeming the Reply Memorandum filed be entered by the Court.