Shelton v. Ray et al Doc. 123

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

LORENZO SHELTON	)		
v. GAYLE RAY, et al.	) )	NO. 3:10-0684 JUDGE CAMPBELL	
ONTEL MAT, et al.	ODDED		
	<u>ORDER</u>		
Pending before the Court	t is Defendants' Motion to	Continue Trial Date (Docket No. 122).	
The Motion is GRANTED.			
This case is RESCHEDU	ULED for a jury trial on	April 16, 2013, beginning at 9:00 a.m.	
Plaintiff and counsel for the Defe	endants shall appear for a P	Pretrial Conference in this Court on April	
1, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. All lawyers	who will participate in the	trial must attend the pretrial conference.	
The parties shall submit to the Court, by March 25, 2013, the following:			
(1) proposed jury instruc	etions and verdict forms;		
(2) witness lists, except f	for witnesses solely for in	npeachment in accordance with Fed. R.	
Civ. P. 26(a)(3);			
(3) exhibit lists, except for documents solely for impeachment in accordance with Fed. R.			
Civ. P. 26(a)(3);			
(4) any stipulations;			
(5) a short summary of their legal theories;			
(6) a statement of the issues;			
(7) a succinct statement of	(7) a succinct statement of the relief sought;		

(8) a summary of any anticipated evidentiary disputes; and

(9) an estimate of the anticipated length of the trial.

By March 18, 2013, the parties shall file any motions in limine and any motions objecting

to expert testimony. Any responses to such motions shall be filed by March 25, 2013.

Expert witness disclosures shall be made timely, in accordance with Local Rule 39.01(c)(6)d.

Responses to interrogatories, requests for production and requests for admissions shall be made

timely in accordance with Local Rules 33.01(c), 34.01 and 36.01. The Court may exclude evidence,

or order other sanctions, for violation of a duty or deadline to make or supplement expert witness

disclosures or discovery responses.

Plaintiff and counsel for the Defendants shall be prepared, at the Pretrial Conference, to:

(1) identify and discuss undisputed facts and issues;

(2) discuss the status of discovery;

(3) preview proposed testimony;

(4) discuss expert testimony;

(5) preview proposed exhibits;

(6) discuss motions in limine;

(7) discuss proposed jury instructions and verdict forms;

(8) discuss settlement; and

(9) discuss pretrial briefs.

If settlement is reached after jurors have been summoned, resulting in the non-utilization of

the jurors, the costs of summoning the jurors may be taxed to the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

TODD J. CAMPBELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE