



3. Assuming the parties execute such a stipulation, the need for the parties to file cross motions for partial summary judgment on the "shared limits" issue, as contemplated in the order entered May 14, 2012 (Docket Entry No. 70), will be rendered moot.

4. The parties shall have until August 6, 2012, to file such a stipulation.

5. As addressed during the July 24, 2012, conference call, defendant Lexington shall produce its claim file to the plaintiffs, along with a privilege log. Such production shall be made no later than August 10, 2012.<sup>3</sup>

6. By August 10, 2012, the parties shall also file a proposed protective order relating to the claims file.

7. The plaintiffs have agreed to cancel the deposition of Lexington's claims adjustor scheduled the week of July 30, 2012, and the deposition of Ironshore's adjustor scheduled the week of August 6, 2012.

8. Plaintiffs' counsel represented that all other discovery issues addressed during the July 24, 2012, conference call have been rendered moot as a result of the parties' stipulation.

9. The parties have requested oral argument on the pending motions for partial summary judgment (Docket Entry Nos. 82, 83, and 87), which will be addressed by further order.

Any party desiring to appeal any order of the Magistrate Judge may do so by filing a motion for review no later than fourteen (14) days from the date of service of the order. The motion for review must be accompanied by a brief or other pertinent documents to apprise the District Judge of the basis for the appeal. See Rule 72.02(b)(1) of the Local Rules of Court and Rule 72(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

It is so ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JULIET GRIFFIN  
United States Magistrate Judge

---

<sup>3</sup> Plaintiffs' counsel indicated that, after reviewing the claims file, he might seek to make a further filing related to the pending motions for partial summary judgment.