UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

CHARLES H. ROBERTS, et al.,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.) NO. 3:11-1127
STATE OF TENNESSEE, et al.,) Judge Sharp/Bryant)
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiffs Charles H. Roberts and Marshall H. Murdock, prisoners proceeding <u>prose</u>, jointly filed this action on August 17, 2011, alleging that defendants have violated their rights protected by the U.S. Constitution by refusing to allow them reasonably to practice their religion, Judaism (Docket Entry No. 1). On August 23, 2011, the Court granted plaintiffs' application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> (Docket Entry No. 4).

On September 8, 2011, plaintiffs Roberts and Murdock filed their amended complaint (Docket Entry No. 12).

Beginning on December 30, 2011, plaintiff Roberts has filed a flurry of motions seeking various forms of relief (Docket Entry Nos. 35, 70, 95, 96, 97, 100, 101, 104, 105, 106, 107 and 108). Each of the foregoing referenced motions were signed only by plaintiff Roberts and did not bear the signature of plaintiff Marshall H. Murdock.

Although 28 U.S.C. § 1654 provides that "[i]n all courts of the United States the parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally or by counsel," this statute does not permit plaintiffs to appear pro se where interests other than their own are at stake. Shepherd v. Wellman, 313 F.3d 963, 970 (6th Cir.

2002) (citing Iannaccone v. Law, 142 F.3d 553, 558 (2nd Cir. 1998) ("[B]ecause pro se means to appear for one's self a person may not appear on another person's behalf in the other's cause.")). Insofar as the record indicates, neither plaintiff Roberts nor plaintiff Murdock is a licensed attorney. Therefore, they are permitted to represent themselves pro se, but they are not permitted to represent the interests of each other. Plaintiffs Roberts and Murdock have chosen to file and prosecute this action Therefore, any motion or other filing on their behalf jointly. must bear the signatures of both plaintiff Roberts and plaintiff Murdock. Plaintiff Roberts is not permitted to file papers in this action on behalf of plaintiff Murdock, nor is plaintiff Murdock permitted to file papers in this action on behalf of plaintiff Roberts. The numbered motions referenced above violate this requirement because only plaintiff Roberts, and not plaintiff Murdock, signed those motions.

For the above reasons, the Court ORDERS that the motions referenced above be STRICKEN as improperly filed, but without prejudice to plaintiffs' rights to refile such motions provided they bear the signatures of both named plaintiffs.

It is so **ORDERED**.

s/ John S. Bryant
JOHN S. BRYANT
United States Magistrate Judge