UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
) CIVIL NO. 3:12ev01197
v.)
) Judge Campbell/Bryant
\$85,975.00 UNITED STATES CURRENCY,)
)
Defendants,)
)
Eric Woodruff, Claimant)

INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

Pursuant to LR 16.01(d), the following Initial Case Management Plan is adopted.

a. Jurisdiction:

The Plaintiff asserts this Court has jurisdiction over an action commenced by the United States under 28 U.S.C. § 1345, and over an action for forfeiture under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(a). It has in rem jurisdiction over the Defendant Property under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b), and venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1), because the acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in this district, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395 because the property is located in this district.

Woodruff does not dispute venue or jurisdiction.

b. Plaintiff's theory of the case:

Claimant Woodruff obtained the Defendant \$85,975.00 United States currency ("Defendant currency") by buying and selling illegal drugs. He intended to use the Defendant currency to buy three kilograms of cocaine with the intent to sell the cocaine, all in violation of

21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). The Defendant currency is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6).

c. Defendant's theory of the case:

Eric Woodruff has standing to pursue the claim for return of the money at issue and he was in lawful possession of it. Woodruff did not obtain the money from an illicit drug sale, nor was it intended for the purchase of cocaine or any other drug. The money was wrongfully taken by officers from Woodruff, without probable cause, without warrant or consent and is not subject to forfeiture and must be returned to Eric Woodruff.

d. Identification of the issues resolved:

All issues are unresolved.

e. Need for other claims or special issues under Rules 13-15, 17-21, and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

None.

f. Witnesses:

- a. The United States reserves the right to call anyone as witness who is listed in its discovery responses and in the Defendant's discovery responses.
- b. Eric Woodruff reserves the right to call anyone as witness who is listed in his Discovery Responses and in the Plaintiff's discovery responses, to which there is no objection. This list may be supplemented by Woodruff as discovery progresses.

g. Initial Disclosures and Staging of Discovery:

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26, forfeiture in rem actions are exempt from initial disclosures.

Prior to filing any discovery-related motion the parties will schedule and conduct a telephone conference with the Magistrate Judge

h. Dispositive motions:

A deadline for the filing of dispositive motions should be listed as well as specific dates for the response and reply. Normally the response should be a date 28 days after the date of the motion filed, and the reply should be 14 days after the date the response is filed.

&XYY

Dispositive Motion deadline: February 5, 2015. Responses are due March 6, 2015. Replies are due March 20th, 2015. If dispositive motions are filed early, the response and reply dates are moved up accordingly. The order should provide that the motion and response memoranda are limited to 25 pages and the reply, if a reply is filed, is limited to five pages, absent Court permission for longer pleading.

i. Other deadlines:

Discovery deadline for fact discovery is Monday, January 5, 2015.

Rule 26 Experts must be disclosed by January 5, 2015. An additional thirty days until February 5, 2015 will be allowed for taking depositions of any experts.

j. Subsequent case management conferences: A follow-up case management

There should be a provision for a subsequent case management conference. This date will conference by felephone is set for December 2, 2014, at 9:30 A.M. be selected based upon the needs of the case. It will normally be scheduled approximately 30 Counsel shall call (615)695-2851 to participate.

days before the close of discovery. This follow-up case management conference will normally be

ggs

conducted by telephone. The order should identify which counsel shall initiate the call-

k. Alternative dispute resolution:

The parties request a mediation or a settlement conference by January 5, 2015.

l. Target trial date:

This is a jury trial at the request of the Claimant. The estimated length of the trial will be three days.

The parties request a target trial date of Monday, June 29, 2015, at least 90 days after the date set for the filing of a reply brief for dispositive motions, or if no dispositive motions are anticipated, approximately 3 months after the close of discovery.

It is so **ORDERED**:

The target trial date is June 30, 2015.

JOHN S. BRYANT
United States Magistrate Judge

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

DAVID RIVERA **United States Attorney**

By: s/ Debra Teufel Phillips **DEBRA TEUFEL PHILLIPS** Assistant United States Attorney 110 9TH Avenue South, Suite A-961 Nashville, Tennessee 37203 615 736-5151 deb.phillips@usdoj.gov Attorney for Plaintiff

Counsel for Claimant:

By: s/ Matthew E. Wright Matthew E. Wright, BPR 022596 Hardee, Martin, Donahoe, Owens & Wright, P.A. 213 East Lafayette St. Jackson, TN 38301

Joy S. Kimbrough 306 Gay Street, Suite 102 Nashville, TN 37201