Murdock v. Bruce et al Doc. 100

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE COLUMBIA DIVISION

MARSHALL H. MURDOCK,)	
Plaintiff,))	Civil No. 3:12-cv-1244
v.)	Judge Sharp
PATSY BRUCE, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of the Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 97), recommending that Plaintiff Marshall H. Murdock's Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 84) be denied for failure to comply with Local Rule 56.01. The R & R also recommended that Defendants' Motion to Strike (Docket No. 90) be denied as moot. Plaintiff has not objected to the R & R.

Where no objections are made to the R & R, "[t]he district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions." FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

Having conducted a *de novo* review in accordance with Rule 72, the Court will accept the disposition set forth in the R & R. Accordingly, the Court rules as follows:

- (1) The R & R (Docket No. 97) is hereby ACCEPTED and APPROVED;
- (2) Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 84) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE; and
- (3) Defendants' Motion to Strike (Docket No. 90) is DENIED AS MOOT.

It is SO ORDERED.

KEVIN H. SHARP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE