




entitled to continue to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The recommendation (ECF No. 73) that the plaintiff's pauper status be revoked is therefore **REJECTED**. Defendants' Dr. Alexander and Corizon's motion to dismiss the complaint on the grounds that the plaintiff is not entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis* and their alternative motion for revocation of pauper status (ECF No. 45) is therefore **DENIED** except insofar as the defendants seek dismissal of any potential medical-malpractice claims. Such medical-malpractice claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

Likewise, the court **REJECTS** the recommendation that defendant First Medical Management's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 47) be granted, and **DENIES** FMM's motion to dismiss, except insofar as it seeks dismissal of any state-law claims for medical malpractice. Such medical-malpractice claims are **DISMISSED**. If FMM believes it is entitled to judgment in its favor on statute-of-limitation grounds, it must file a properly supported motion for summary judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56.

This matter remains **REFERRED** to Magistrate Judge Knowles.

It is so **ORDERED**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Aleta A. Trauger  
United States District Judge