


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

Motion GRANTED



NEXPAY, INC.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
COMDATA NETWORK, INC., d/b/a)
COMDATA CORP.,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 3:14-cv-01749
Judge Trauger
Magistrate Judge Newbern

DEFENDANT’S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to Section IV(B)(5) of the Agreed Protective Order (Doc. 34), Defendant Comdata Network, Inc. n/k/a Comdata Inc. (“Comdata”) respectfully moves for permission to file under seal Comdata’s Reply in Further Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment.

Comdata’s Reply cites and quotes, among other things:

1. Plaintiff’s Response Brief (Doc. 207);
2. Plaintiff’s Response to Comdata’s Statement of Undisputed Material Facts (Doc. 208);
3. The Declaration of Vincent Valentine (Doc. 209); and
4. Plaintiff’s Appendix of deposition exhibits (Doc. 212).

Each of the above documents has been filed under seal and without a redacted version for public view. (See Doc. 204, Pl.’s Motion for Leave to File Under Seal; Doc. 213, Order; see also Doc. 197, Order granting motion to cite deposition transcripts under seal.) Comdata so frequently cites or references the above in its Reply that any redacted version would be of no substantive value.