

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

JERALD WIGGINS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	NO. 3:14-cv-01950
)	CHIEF JUDGE CRENSHAW
METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF)	
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON COUNTY,)	
TENNESSEE, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER


For the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion:

1. Wiggins’ Objections (Doc. No. 101) are **SUSTAINED IN PART AND OVERRULED IN PART.**
2. The Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 99) is **ADOPTED.**
3. Norris’ Partial Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 59) is **GRANTED.**
4. Crofts’ Partial Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 68) is **GRANTED.**¹
5. Brewer, Hampton, and Dunaway’s Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 71) is **GRANTED.** The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the claim under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-7-121.
6. Metro’s Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 75) is **GRANTED.** The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the claim under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-7-121.
7. McNamara’s Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 79) is **GRANTED.** The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the claim under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-7-121.

¹ Crofts’ request to dismiss claims not directed at him is denied as moot.

8. Defendants Brewer, Hampton, Dunaway, McNamara, and Metro are **TERMINATED** from this action.
9. Plaintiff has the following remaining claims:
 - a. § 1983 claims against Crotts and Norris;
 - b. state law assault and battery claim against Crotts and Norris; and
 - c. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-7-121 claim against Crotts and Norris.
10. This case is **RETURNED** to the Magistrate Judge for further case management.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



WAVERLY D. CRENSHAW, JR.
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE