Ford v. Lemelle et al Doc. 25

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

ELIZEBETH FORD,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) NO. 3:15-cv-00930 ) JUDGE CRENSHAW
ROY LEMELLE and	) JODGE CRENSHAV
BLAKE ROOSEVELT,	)
Defendants.	)

## **ORDER**

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, to which no timely objections have been filed. (Doc. No. 18.) On November 3, 2016, Plaintiff sent a letter to the Court asking for more time to find counsel. (Doc. No. 23.) As of the date of this Order, no counsel has entered an appearance for Plaintiff. The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and conducted a de novo review of the record. The Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED**.

Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 10) is **GRANTED**. All claims are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for Plaintiff's failure to exhaust her administrative remedies. The Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58.

The Court **CERTIFIES** that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) (2006).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

WAVER**LY** D. CRENSHAW,**(J**R. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE