

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NASHVILLE DIVISION

<b>LARRY PAYNE,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>No. 3:17-cv-01410</b>
	)	
<b>KEVIN GENOVESE, et al.,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendants.</b>	)	


**ORDER**

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (Doc. No. 116) recommending that the Court deny Plaintiff’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 107). No timely objections to the R&R have been filed.

Having thoroughly reviewed the R&R, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge’s analysis. Specifically, the Court agrees that Plaintiff “has not carried his initial burden to demonstrate the absence of any genuine disputes of material fact by pointing to particular evidence in the record.” (Doc. No. 116 at 5-6.)

Accordingly, the R&R (Doc. No. 116) is **APPROVED AND ADOPTED**, and Plaintiff’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 107) is **DENIED**. This case is hereby **RETURNED** to the Magistrate Judge for further case management.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 WAVERLY D. CRENSHAW, JR.  
 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE