

For each claim presented, the answer shall state whether the claim was exhausted, in whole or in part, in state court and shall assert appropriate procedural defenses. Where only a portion of a claim has been exhausted in state court, the answer shall specify the aspects of the claim that were exhausted and the aspects of the claim that are subject to procedural defenses. Where a merits analysis is appropriate, the answer shall: (1) cite the state court ruling for an exhausted claim; (2) identify the clearly established Supreme Court precedent governing the claim; and (3) respond to the petitioner's argument that he is entitled to habeas relief with appropriately reasoned legal and factual argument. The response shall include the complete state-court record, as defined in Administrative Order 16-31, which shall be organized and appropriately indexed in the manner specified in the administrative order. Each docket entry in CM-ECF shall have a label corresponding to the index.

It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of Court send a copy of the Petition and this order to Respondent and to the Tennessee Attorney General and Reporter by certified mail. *See* Habeas Rule 4.

Pursuant to Habeas Rule 5(e), Warlick may, if he chooses, submit a reply to Respondent's answer or response within twenty-eight days of service. He may request an extension of time to reply by filing a motion on or before the due date of his reply

IT IS SO ORDERED this 19th day of September 2018.

s/ J. DANIEL BREEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE