

recommendations to which an objection is made. Objections must be specific; a general objection is not sufficient and may result in waiver of further review. *See Downs v. McDonough*, 2022 WL 411845, at *1 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 9, 2022) (citing *Miller v. Currie*, 50 F.3d 373, 380 (6th Cir. 1995)).

Here, Plaintiff has failed to file any objections to the Magistrate Judge’s Report within the fourteen-day requisite time. “When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s notes (citing *Campbell v. United States Dist. Court*, 501 F.2d 196, 206 (9th Cir. 1974), *cert. denied*, 419 U.S. 879)). *See also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) (holding that the Sixth Circuit’s waiver/forfeiture rule is within its supervisory powers and “[t]here is no indication that Congress, in enacting § 636(b)(1)(C), intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate’s report to which no objections are filed”).

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation and the entire record and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety, and this action is hereby **DISMISSED**. Plaintiff’s motion (ECF No. 8) is **DENIED** as moot. The Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ S. Thomas Anderson
S. THOMAS ANDERSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: November 28, 2023