Sanford v. Schofield et al Doc. 166

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

GERALD A. SANFORD, SR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	No. 12-2685-JDT-tmp
)	
DERRICK D. SCHOFIELD, ET AL.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR REPLICA OF EXHIBITS

The *pro se* prisoner Plaintiff, Gerald A. Sanford, Sr., filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1.) The Court issued an order on March 19, 2913 that, *inter alia*, severed and transferred some of the claims to the Middle District of Tennessee, dismissed certain claims, and directed that process be issued for six individual Defendants. (ECF No. 10.) Subsequently, each of those six Defendants was either dismissed (ECF Nos. 32 & 60) or granted summary judgment (ECF No. 153). Judgment was entered on April 14, 2015. (ECF No. 154.) Plaintiff appealed, and the Sixth Circuit affirmed. *Sanford v. Schofield*, No. 15-5513 (6th Cir. May 20, 2016).

On February 5, 2016, Plaintiff filed a second "Motion Requesting Replica of Exhibits for Trial in the Middle District." (ECF No. 161.) He states that his legal work was not included with his personal property when he was transferred from the Turney Center Industrial Complex to the Hardeman County Correctional Facility in May 2013. (*Id.* at 1.)

Plaintiff further states that he wrote to the Clerk of this Court, explaining his "predicament"

but received no response. However, the docket shows no such "correspondence" to the Clerk

prior to Plaintiff filing his first motion for "replica of exhibits" on October 3, 2014. (ECF

No. 140.) The Court denied that motion without prejudice because Plaintiff had not specified

which specific exhibits he sought.

Plaintiff's motion is DENIED. Plaintiff again does not specify which exhibits he

seeks. Furthermore, when this case was transferred to the Middle District of Tennessee, all

of the many exhibits filed by Plaintiff prior to the date of transfer were also transferred

electronically to that court. Plaintiff should have directed his requests for copies to that

court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ James D. Todd

JAMES D. TODD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2