

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
WESTERN DIVISION**

FELICIA JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

WILLIAM OLDHAM, SHERIFF, and
SHELBY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE,

Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

No. 16-cv-2587-SHL-dkv

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the Chief Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint. (ECF No. 16.) A magistrate judge may submit to a judge of the court proposed findings of fact and recommendations for dismissal of a complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). When neither party objects to the magistrate judge's factual or legal conclusions, the district court need not review those findings under a *de novo* or any other standard. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). "When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee notes. Here, the deadline to file an objection to the R&R was January 10, 2017; however, to date, Plaintiff has not filed an objection. On clear-error review of the Chief Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 16) in its entirety.

Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 15) is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff's *Pro Se* Complaint (ECF No. 1) is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 17th day of January, 2017.

s/ Sheryl H. Lipman _____
SHERYL H. LIPMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE