


Plaintiff's due process claims are frivolous and fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted because the disciplinary action did not result in a sanction that imposed upon a liberty interest. *Sandin v. Conner*, 515 U.S. 472, 483-84 (1995). Plaintiff alleges the defendants did not follow prison policies, but a prison official's failure to follow prison policies, procedures or regulations does not constitute a violation of due process. *Myers v. Klevenhagen*, 97 F.3d 91, 94 (5th Cir. 1996).

In his amended complaint, plaintiff complains about the conditions of his confinement in administrative segregation. Plaintiff alleges cockroaches were on his food tray when the tray was left when plaintiff was out of his cell. Plaintiff alleges he requested medical care, which was denied by physician's assistants. These claims are also frivolous and fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted because plaintiff does not allege that any of the named defendants were personally involved in denying him medical treatment or leaving food in his cell. *Jacquez v. Procunier*, 801 F.2d 789, 793 (5th Cir. 1986).

ORDER

Accordingly, plaintiff's objections (document no. 13) are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the magistrate judge are correct, and the report of the magistrate judge (document no. 11) is **ADOPTED**. A final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the magistrate judge's recommendation.

SIGNED this the **26** day of **September, 2016**.


Thad Heartfield
United States District Judge