

The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). After careful consideration, the Court concludes the objections are without merit. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Patel was not sued in her supervisory capacity, and that she actually took part in denying plaintiff medical treatment. However, plaintiff's allegations do not demonstrate that he had a serious medical need and that defendant Patel was deliberately indifferent to a risk of harm to the plaintiff. Therefore, plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

ORDER

Accordingly, plaintiff's objections (document nos. 87 and 93) are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the magistrate judge are correct, and the reports of the magistrate judge (document no. 79, 84, and 85) are **ADOPTED**. A final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the magistrate judge's recommendations.

SIGNED this the 6 day of **March, 2017**.



Thad Heartfield
United States District Judge