Joseph v. Livingston Doc. 10

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

DEMETRIUS SHERMAN JOSEPH, SR. §

VS. 

§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:16cv166

DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID §

## ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner Demetrius Sherman Joseph, Sr., a prisoner confined in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, brought this petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner challenges a prison disciplinary conviction.

The court referred this matter to the Honorable Keith F. Giblin, United States Magistrate Judge. The Magistrate Judge has submitted a Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. The Magistrate Judge recommends that the petition be denied with prejudice.

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge, along with the record and pleadings. Petitioner filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

The court has conducted a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). After careful consideration, the court concludes petitioner's objections lack merit. Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that the punishment he received as a result of his disciplinary conviction included the loss of previously earned good conduct time credits or imposed an atypical and significant hardship on him in relation to the ordinary incidents of prison life. As a result, petitioner was not entitled to due process of law in connection with the disciplinary proceeding. *Sandin v. Conner*, 512 U.S. 472, 483-84 (1995).

## ORDER

Accordingly, petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Magistrate Judge are correct and the report of the Magistrate Judge is

**ADOPTED** as the opinion of the court. A final judgment shall be entered in accordance with the

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

In addition, the court is of the opinion that the petitioner is not entitled to a certificate of

appealability. An appeal from a judgment denying federal habeas relief may not proceed unless a

judge issues a certificate of appealability. See U.S.C. § 2253. The standard that must be met in order

to receive a certificate of appealability requires the petitioner to make a substantial showing of the

denial of a federal constitutional right. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000);

Elizalde v. Dretke, 362 F.3d 323, 328 (5th Cir. 2004). To make a substantial showing, the petitioner

is not requited to demonstrate that he would prevail on the merits. Rather, he need only demonstrate

that the issues are subject to debate among jurists of reason, that a court could resolve the issues in

a different manner, or that the questions presented in the petition are worthy of encouragement to

proceed further. See Slack, 529 U.S. at 483-84. If the petition was dismissed on procedural grounds,

the petitioner must show that jurists of reason would find it debatable: (1) whether the petition raises

a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right, and (2) whether the district court was correct in

its procedural ruling. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484; Elizalde, 362 F.3d at 328. Any doubt regarding

whether to grant a certificate of appealability should be resolved in favor of the petitioner, and the

severity of the penalty may be considered in making this determination. See Miller v. Johnson, 200

F.3d 274, 280-81 (5th Cir. 2000).

In this case, the petitioner has not shown that the issues raised in his petition are subject to

debate among jurists of reason. The factual and legal questions raised by petitioner have been

consistently resolved adversely to his position and the questions presented are not worthy of

encouragement to proceed further. As a result, a certificate of appealability shall not issue.

So Ordered and Signed

May 24, 2018

Ron Clark, United States District Judge

Rm Clark