

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

MICHAEL DAVID STUNTZ,
Plaintiff,

versus

LION ELASTOMERS LLC, HOWARD
SCOTT HARDEGREE, TRUDY LORD,
TOM ROGERS, PAULA SHARP, JAMES
MOSLEY,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 1:17-CV-00033-MAC

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This case is assigned to the Honorable Zack Hawthorn, United States Magistrate Judge, for pre-trial management. On June 13, 2019, Judge Hawthorn entered a report (Doc. No. 68) recommending that the Court grant Defendants’ “Motion for Summary Judgment” (Doc. No. 53) and grant Defendants’ “Motion to Seal Exhibit M.” Doc. No. 54.

A party who files timely, written objections to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation is entitled to a de novo determination of those findings or recommendations to which the party specifically objects. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2)-(3). “Parties filing objections must specifically identify those findings [to which they object]. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections need not be considered by the district court.” *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404, 410 n.8 (5th Cir. 1982) (en banc), overruled on other grounds by *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

The court has conducted a de novo review of the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation and has carefully considered the Plaintiffs’ objections. See Doc. No. 70. The

court finds that the magistrate judge's conclusions are correct and the objections are largely a restatement of previously asserted arguments.

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's objections (Doc. No. 70) are **OVERRULED**, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation (Doc. No. 68) is **ADOPTED**, and Defendants' "Motion for Summary Judgment" (Doc. No. 53) is **GRANTED**.

A final judgment will be entered separately.

SIGNED at Tyler, Texas, this 27th day of June, 2019.



MARCIA A. CRONE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE