

of him (docket entry no. 14). The Report and Recommendation was then entered on October 2, 2017, recommending this civil rights action be dismissed for want of prosecution (docket entry no. 15). Plaintiff received a copy of the Report and Recommendation on October 9, 2017 (docket entry no. 16). Plaintiff then filed additional correspondence on October 12, 2017, essentially requesting appointment of counsel (docket entry no. 17). The Magistrate Judge then entered an additional order on October 19, 2017, denying plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel and explaining plaintiff merely needed to amend the factual details of his complaint (docket entry no. 18). This order also gave plaintiff an additional twenty (20) days to amend his pleadings. *Id.* Plaintiff then filed a Notice with the Court on November 9, 2017, complaining he was denied the appointment of counsel but still did not amend his pleadings as ordered (docket entry no. 19). On November 13, 2017, plaintiff filed another Motion to Appoint Counsel (docket entry no. 20).

Despite having a year to do so, plaintiff has failed to amend the factual details of his complaint as ordered by the Magistrate Judge. As explained by the Magistrate Judge, this does not require this assistance of appointed counsel. Plaintiff's objections are without merit.

ORDER

Accordingly, plaintiff's objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and the report of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED**. A Final Judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **19** day of **June, 2018**.



Ron Clark, United States District Judge