## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

DATATRE ACURY CORROR ATION	e	
DATATREASURY CORPORATION	§ s	
PLAINTIFF	§	
NO.	8	
VS.	% %	
WELLS EADOO & COMDANY, WELLS	8 §	
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY; WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL	<b>§</b>	
ASSOCIATION; BANK OF AMERICA	<b>§</b>	
•	8	
CORPORATION; BANK OF AMERICA,	§	
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; U.S.	§ 8	
BANCORP; U.S. BANK, NATIONAL	§	
ASSOCIATION; WACHOVIA	§ 8	
CORPORATION; WACHOVIA BANK,	§ 8	
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; SUNTRUST	§	C' 'I A ' N 2 0 6 72
BANKS, INC.; SUNTRUST BANK; BB&T	§	Civil Action No. 2:06cv72
CORPORATION; BRANCH BANKING	§	
AND TRUST COMPANY;	§ §	
BANCORPSOUTH, INC.;		
BANCORPSOUTH BANK; COMPASS	§	
BANCSHARES, INC.; COMPASS BANK;	<b>§</b>	
CULLEN/FROST BANKERS, INC.; THE	§	
FROST NATIONAL BANK; FIRST	<b>§</b>	
HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION;	§	
FIRST TENNESSEE BANK, NATIONAL	§	
ASSOCIATION; HSBC NORTH	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
AMERICA HOLDINGS INC.; HSBC	§	
BANK USA, N.A.; HARRIS BANKCORP,	§	
INC.; HARRIS N.A.; NATIONAL CITY	§	
CORPORATION; NATIONAL CITY	§	
BANK; ZIONS BANCORPORATION;	§ §	
ZIONS FIRST NATIONAL BANK;	§	
BANK OF NEW YORK CO., INC.;	§	
THE BANK OF NEW YORK;	§	
UNIONBANCAL CORPORATION;	§	
UNION BANK OF CALIFORNIA,	§	
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; BANK OF	§	
TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD.;	§	
CITIZENS FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.	§	
CITY NATIONAL CORPORATION;	§	
CITY NATIONAL BANK; COMERICA	<b>§</b>	
INCORPORATED; COMERICA BANK	§	
& TRUST, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION;	§	

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY	§	
AMERICAS; FIRST CITIZENS	§	
BANCSHARES, INC.; FIRST CITIZENS	§	
BANK & TRUST COMPANY; KEYCORP;	§	
KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION;	§	
LASALLE BANK CORPORATION;	§	
LASALLE BANK NA; M&T BANK	§	
CORPORATION; M&T BANK; THE PNC	§	
FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.;	§	
PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	§	
UBS AMERICAS, INC.; SMALL VALUE	§	
PAYMENTS COMPANY, LLC; THE	§	
CLEARING HOUSE PAYMENTS	§	
COMPANY, LLC; MAGTEK, INC; FIRST	§	
DATA CORPORATION; TELECHECK	§	
SERVICES, INC., REMITCO, LLC and	§	
ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORP.	§	
	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants	§	

## **ORDER**

Before the Court is the Agreed Motion to Extend Deadline for Submission of Parties' Joint Conference Report. Having considered the Agreed Motion, the Court is of the opinion that it should be GRANTED.

It is hereby ORDERED that the parties are allowed up to and including Friday, October 13, 2006 to file their Joint Conference Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 10th day of October, 2006.

DAVID FOLSOM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE