

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**  
**MARSHALL DIVISION**

|                                      |   |                           |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| DATATREASURY CORPORATION             | § | Civil Action No. 2:06cv72 |
| <i>PLAINTIFF</i>                     | § |                           |
| vs.                                  | § | Judge David Folsom        |
|                                      | § |                           |
| WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, <i>ET AL.</i> | § |                           |
| <i>DEFENDANTS</i>                    | § |                           |
|                                      | § |                           |

**ORDER**

Pending before this Court is the Motion of Defendant Electronic Data Systems Corp. for Modification of the Stay. Having considered the Motion, the Court finds that it is well-taken. Therefore, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** that the Motion of Defendant Electronic Data Systems Corp. for Modification of the Stay is **GRANTED**. The Order of October 25, 2006 (Dkt. No. 326) is modified to permit Defendants to enter the following stipulation:

The parties agree that the stay will be granted only on condition that [an individual defendant] agrees not to challenge United States Patent Numbers 5,910,988 and/or 6,032,137 based on any prior art printed publications that were considered in the reexamination process. [An individual defendant] will be permitted to rely for obviousness on the combination of a printed publication reference that was considered in the reexamination with prior art that was not so considered.

On condition that individual Defendants enter the preceding stipulation, the Court will **Sever** and **Stay** the Plaintiff's claims against the stipulating Defendants on U.S. Patent Nos. 5,910,988 and 6,032,137.

**Ten days** from the date this Order is signed, Defendants shall either (1) file with the Court a signed copy of the preceding stipulation, or (2) notify the Court in writing of their

decision not to sign the stipulation.

It is further **ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall delay implementation of this Order until further notification from the Court.