



2. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

3. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

4. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

5. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

6. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

7. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

8. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

9. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

10. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

11. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

12. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

13. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

14. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

15. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

16. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

17. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

18. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

19. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

20. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 20 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

21. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 21 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

22. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 22 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

23. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

24. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 24 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

25. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 25 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

26. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

27. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

28. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

29. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

30. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 31 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

31. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 31 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

32. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 32 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

33. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 33 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

34. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 34 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

35. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 35 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

36. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 36 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

37. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 37 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

38. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 38 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

39. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 39 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

40. Deutsche Bank admits that Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas is a New York corporation, that it does limited business in Texas and that it has a principal place of business at the address indicated in paragraph 40. Deutsche Bank admits that C.T. Corporation System is Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas' Registered Agent for Service at the address indicated in paragraph 40.

41. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

42. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 42 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

43. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 43 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

44. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 44 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

45. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 45 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

46. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 46 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

47. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 47 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

48. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 48 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

49. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 49 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

50. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 50 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.



51. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 51 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

52. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 52 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

53. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 53 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

54. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 54 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

55. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 55 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

56. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 56 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

57. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 57 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

58. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 58 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

59. Deutsche Bank admits that the Amended Complaint alleges that this is an action for patent infringement under the provisions of the Patent Laws of the United States of America, Title 35, United States Code. Deutsche Bank admits that subject-matter jurisdiction of patent claims is conferred upon this Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1338. Deutsche Bank denies any patent infringement.

60. As to the first allegation of paragraph 60, Deutsche Bank disputes that general personal jurisdiction is conferred through minimum contacts with the forum, and accordingly denies the same. The second allegation of paragraph 60 is denied. As to the allegations regarding other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 60 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

61. Deutsche Bank admits that 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 govern the venue of patent claims. Deutsche Bank denies any patent infringement and denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 61 of the Amended Complaint. As to the allegations regarding other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 61 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

62. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 62 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same, except Deutsche Bank admits that DTC refers to the entities listed in paragraph 62 collectively as the “Viewpoint Defendant Group” in its Amended Complaint.

63. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 63 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

64. Deutsche Bank admits that it is a Clearing House Association Member. Otherwise, Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 64 of the Amended Complaint as to itself. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 64 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same, except Deutsche Bank admits that DTC refers to the entities listed in paragraph 62 collectively as the “SVPCo/Clearing House Defendant Group” in its Amended Complaint.

65. Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 65 of the Amended Complaint as to itself. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 65 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

### **III. PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

66. Deutsche Bank admits that United States Patent No. 5,910,988 (“the ‘988 patent”) issued on June 8, 1999, and identifies Claudio R. Ballard as the purported inventor. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

remaining allegations of paragraph 66 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same. However, the Court has stayed this case with respect to the '988 patent.

67. Deutsche Bank admits that United States Patent No. 6,032,137 (“the ‘137 patent’”) issued on February 29, 2000, and identifies Claudio R. Ballard as the purported inventor.

Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 67 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same. However, the Court has stayed this case with respect to the ‘137 patent.

68. Deutsche Bank admits that United States Patent No. 5,265,007 (“the ‘007 patent’”) issued on November 23, 1993, and identifies John L. Barnhard, jr., Thomas k. Bowen, Terry L. Geer, and John W. Liebersbach as the purported inventors. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 68 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

69. Deutsche Bank admits that United States Patent No. 5,583,759 (“the ‘759 patent’”) issued on December 10, 1996, and identifies Terry L. Geer as the purported inventor. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 69 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

70. Deutsche Bank admits that United States Patent No. 5,717,868 (“the ‘868 patent’”) issued on February 10, 1998, and identifies David L. James as the purported inventor. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 70 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

71. Deutsche Bank admits that United States Patent No. 5,930,778 (“the ‘778 patent”) issued on July 27, 1999, and identifies Terry L. Geer as the purported inventor. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 71 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

72. Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 72 of the Amended Complaint in that DTC is not entitled to any recovery under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

#### **IV. COUNT I – THE ‘988 DEFENDANTS**

73. Pursuant to the order issued by the Court on January 12, 2007, this case has been stayed with respect to the ‘988 patent for Deutsche Bank. Accordingly, Deutsche Bank will answer the allegations in paragraph 73 as to it at the appropriate time if and when the Court lifts the stay. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 73 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

74. Pursuant to the order issued by the Court on January 12, 2007, this case has been stayed with respect to the ‘988 patent for Deutsche Bank. Accordingly, Deutsche Bank will answer the allegations in paragraph 74 as to it at the appropriate time if and when the Court lifts the stay. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 74 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

75. Pursuant to the order issued by the Court on January 12, 2007, this case has been stayed with respect to the ‘988 patent for Deutsche Bank. Accordingly, Deutsche Bank will answer the allegations in paragraph 75 as to it at the appropriate time if and when the Court lifts the stay. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 75 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

76. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 76 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

#### **COUNT TWO – THE ‘137 DEFENDANTS**

77. Pursuant to the order issued by the Court on January 12, 2007, this case has been stayed with respect to the ‘137 patent for Deutsche Bank. Accordingly, Deutsche Bank will answer the allegations in paragraph 77 as to it at the appropriate time if and when the Court lifts the stay. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 77 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

78. Pursuant to the order issued by the Court on January 12, 2007, this case has been stayed with respect to the ‘137 patent for Deutsche Bank. Accordingly, Deutsche Bank will answer the allegations in paragraph 78 as to it at the appropriate time if and when the Court lifts the stay. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 78 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

79. Pursuant to the order issued by the Court on January 12, 2007, this case has been stayed with respect to the ‘137 patent for Deutsche Bank. Accordingly, Deutsche Bank will answer the allegations in paragraph 79 as to it at the appropriate time if and when the Court lifts the stay. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 79 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

80. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 80 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

### **COUNT THREE – THE ‘007 DEFENDANTS**

81. Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 81 of the Amended Complaint as to it. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 81 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

82. Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 82 of the Amended Complaint as to it. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 82 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

83. Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 83 of the Amended Complaint as to it. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 83 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

### **COUNT FOUR – THE ‘759 DEFENDANTS**

84. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 84 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

85. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 85 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

86. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 86 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

#### **COUNT FIVE – THE ‘868 DEFENDANTS**

87. Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 87 of the Amended Complaint as to it. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 87 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

88. Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 88 of the Amended Complaint as to it. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 88 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

89. Deutsche Bank denies the allegations of paragraph 89 of the Amended Complaint as to it. As to allegations regarding the other named defendants, Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 89 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

#### **COUNT SIX – THE ‘788 DEFENDANTS**

90. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 90 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.



91. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 91 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

92. Deutsche Bank is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 92 of the Amended Complaint, and, accordingly, denies the same.

### **GENERAL DENIAL**

Deutsche Bank denies all allegations in DTC's Amended Complaint not specifically admitted in its Answer.

### **X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

With respect to the allegations in this section of the Amended Complaint, Deutsche Bank admit that DTC seeks the relief set forth therein, but denies that DTC is entitled to any of the relief requested against Deutsche Bank. Otherwise, the allegations of the "Prayer for Relief" section of the Amended Complaint are denied.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

#### **First Defense**

93. Deutsche Bank has not infringed and is not infringing any valid and enforceable claim of the '007 or '868 patents.

#### **Second Defense**

94. Deutsche Bank has not contributed to and is not contributing to the infringement of any valid and enforceable claim of the '007 or '868 patents.

**Third Defense**

95. Deutsche Bank has not induced and is not inducing the infringement of any valid and enforceable claim of the '007 or '868 patents.

**Fourth Defense**

96. The claims of the '007 and/or '868 patents are invalid because they fail to meet the conditions for patentability in Title 35, United States Code, including, but not limited to §§ 101, 102, 103 and 112 thereof.

**Fifth Defense**

97. The '007 and/or '868 patents are unenforceable by reason of inequitable conduct committed at the United States Patent and Trademark Office during prosecution of the applications that eventually matured into the '007 and/or '868 patents. By way of example but without limitation, patentee failed to disclose information or affirmatively misrepresented information to the USPTO of which the patentee was aware was material to the examination of one or more Application. For example, the patentee failed to advise the USPTO of material prior information regarding prior art.

**Sixth Defense**

98. DTC's claims of alleged infringement of the '007 and/or '868 patents are barred, in whole or part, under the doctrine of laches and /or the statute of limitations.

**Seventh Defense**

99. DTC's Amended Complaint, and each purported claim against Deutsche Bank alleged therein, fails to state facts upon which relief can be granted against Deutsche Bank.

## COUNTERCLAIMS

Deutsche Bank asserts the following counterclaims against Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant DataTreasury Corporation (“DTC”):

100. Deutsche Bank re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein the allegations of paragraphs 1-99 above.

101. These counterclaims arises under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02, and the patent laws of the United States set forth in Title 35 of the United States Code and Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

102. This Court has jurisdiction over these counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 2201(a). Venue is based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and 1400(b). This Court has personal jurisdiction over DTC.

103. DTC filed the Amended Complaint against Deutsche Bank for infringement of the ‘007 and ‘868 patents. Accordingly, an actual justicable case or controversy exists between DTC and Deutsche Bank.

### **First Counterclaim (Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement)**

104. Deutsche Bank re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein the allegations of paragraphs 1-103 above.

105. Deutsche Bank has not infringed and is not infringing any valid and enforceable claim of the ‘007 or ‘868 patents.

106. Deutsche Bank has not contributed to and is not contributing to the infringement of any valid and enforceable claim of the ‘007 or ‘868 patents.

107. Deutsche Bank has not induced and is not inducing the infringement of any valid and enforceable claim of the ‘007 or ‘868 patents.

**Second Counterclaim  
(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity)**

108. Deutsche Bank re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein the allegations of paragraphs 1-107 above.

109. The '007 and/or '868 patents are void and invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of Title 35, United States Code, including, but not limited to Sections 101, 102, 103, and 112 and the rules, regulations, and laws pertaining thereto.

**Third Counterclaim  
(Declaratory Judgment of Unenforceability)**

110. Deutsche Bank re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein the allegations of paragraphs 1-109 above.

111. The '007 and/or '868 patents are unenforceable by reason of inequitable conduct committed at the United States Patent and Trademark Office during prosecution of the applications that eventually matured into the '988, '137, '007, and/or '868 patents.

**Fourth Counterclaim  
(Laches and/or Statute of Limitations)**

112. Deutsche Bank re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein the allegations of paragraphs 1-112 above.

113. DTC's claims of alleged infringement of the '007 and/or '868 patents are barred, in whole or part, under the doctrine of laches and /or the statute of limitations.

**Fifth Counterclaim  
(Exceptional Case)**

114. Deutsche Bank re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein the allegation of paragraphs 1-113 above.

115. This is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 entitling Deutsche Bank to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

A. Adjudge, declare, and decree that all of DTC's claims against each of the Deutsche Bank is denied;

B. Adjudge, declare, and decree that the Amended Complaint against each of the Deutsche Bank be dismissed with prejudice;

C. Adjudge, declare, and decree that the claims of the '007 and '868 patents are not infringed by any of the Deutsche Bank;

D. Adjudge, declare, and decree that the claims the '007 and '868 patents are invalid;

E. Adjudge, declare, and decree that the claims of the '007 and '868 patents are unenforceable;

F. Find this case exceptional and award reasonable attorneys' fees to Deutsche Bank;

G. Award costs of this case to Deutsche Bank;

H. Award to Deutsche Bank any further relief to which Deutsche Bank is entitled.

**JURY DEMAND**

Deutsche Bank demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38.

Dated: February 12, 2007

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT  
DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST  
COMPANY AMERICAS**

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Lance Lee*

**LANCE LEE**

Texas Bar No. 240004762

Email [wlancelee@aol.com](mailto:wlancelee@aol.com)

**DAMON YOUNG**

Texas Bar No. 22176700

Email: [dmyoung64@aol.com](mailto:dmyoung64@aol.com)

**YOUNG, PICKETT & LEE**

4122 Texas Blvd.

P.O. Box 1897

Texarkana, Texas 75504

tel. 903-794-1303

fax 903-792-5098

**Edward G. Poplawski** (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: [EPoplaws@Sidley.com](mailto:EPoplaws@Sidley.com)

**JEFFREY A. FINN** (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: [JFinn@Sidley.com](mailto:JFinn@Sidley.com)

**CARISSA A. TENER** (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: [CTener@Sidley.com](mailto:CTener@Sidley.com)

**SIDLEY AUSTIN L.L.P.**

555 W. Fifth Street

Los Angeles, California 90013

tel. 213-896-6000

fax 213-896-6600

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2007 via electronic transmission.

Bank of America – Listserve ([BankofAmericaF&R@fr.com](mailto:BankofAmericaF&R@fr.com))  
BB&T - Listserve ([BB&T\\_DataTreasury@kilpatrickstockton.com](mailto:BB&T_DataTreasury@kilpatrickstockton.com))  
Citizens Financial ([citizensfinancial@standleyLLP.com](mailto:citizensfinancial@standleyLLP.com))  
City National Bank – Listserve ([citynationalbank@dmtechlaw.com](mailto:citynationalbank@dmtechlaw.com))  
Comerica Bank 007 – Listserve ([Comerica\\_DataTreasury@kilpatrickstockton.com](mailto:Comerica_DataTreasury@kilpatrickstockton.com))  
Compass/First Horizon/TN Bank – Listserve ([comfhft@andrewskurth.com](mailto:comfhft@andrewskurth.com))  
Cullen/Frost Bank – Listserve ([frostbank@dmtechlaw.com](mailto:frostbank@dmtechlaw.com))  
EDS – Listserve ([EDS\\_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:EDS_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com))  
UBS – Listserve ([ubsamericas@velaw.com](mailto:ubsamericas@velaw.com))  
HSBC N. America Holdings/HSBC Bank USA - Listserve ([hsbccounsel@blhc-law.com](mailto:hsbccounsel@blhc-law.com))  
BancorpSouth – Listserve ([bxs@hughesluce.com](mailto:bxs@hughesluce.com))  
Bank of Tokyo – Listserve ([BankofTokyo\\_DataTreasury@sidley.com](mailto:BankofTokyo_DataTreasury@sidley.com))  
BofNY – Listserve ([BofNYLitTeam@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:BofNYLitTeam@pillsburylaw.com))  
The Clearing House/SVPCo – Listserve ([TCH\\_DT@sullcrom.com](mailto:TCH_DT@sullcrom.com))  
Data Treasury – Listserve ([datatreasury@cooperiplaw.com](mailto:datatreasury@cooperiplaw.com))  
Edward H. Hohn ([edhohn@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:edhohn@nixlawfirm.com))  
Anthony Bruster ([akbruster@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:akbruster@nixlawfirm.com))  
Rod Cooper ([rodcooper@nixlawfirm.com](mailto:rodcooper@nixlawfirm.com))  
Karl Rupp ([krupp@provostumphrey.com](mailto:krupp@provostumphrey.com))  
Deutsche Bank – Listserve ([DeutscheBank\\_DataTreasury@sidley.com](mailto:DeutscheBank_DataTreasury@sidley.com))  
First Citizens - Listserve ([firstcitizens@bakerbotts.com](mailto:firstcitizens@bakerbotts.com))  
First Data – Listserve ([FirstData\\_DataTreasury@sidley.com](mailto:FirstData_DataTreasury@sidley.com))  
Key Bank - Listserve ([KeyCorp\\_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:KeyCorp_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com))  
LaSalle Bank – Listserve ([LaSalleBank\\_DataTreasury@sidley.com](mailto:LaSalleBank_DataTreasury@sidley.com))  
National City Bank – Listserve ([Foley-DTC@foley.com](mailto:Foley-DTC@foley.com))  
Remitco – Listserve ([Remitco\\_DataTreasury@sidley.com](mailto:Remitco_DataTreasury@sidley.com))  
TeleCheck – Listserve – ([Telecheck\\_DataTreasury@sidley.com](mailto:Telecheck_DataTreasury@sidley.com))  
Union BofCA - Listserve ([UBofCLitTeam@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:UBofCLitTeam@pillsburylaw.com))  
Viewpointe – Listserve ([Viewpointe\\_dtc@skadden.com](mailto:Viewpointe_dtc@skadden.com))  
Zion First National Bank – Listserve ([Foley-DTC@foley.com](mailto:Foley-DTC@foley.com))  
Harris Bancorp. – Listserve ([Harris\\_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:Harris_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com))  
M&T 077 – Listserve ([M&T\\_DataTreasury@kilpatrickstockton.com](mailto:M&T_DataTreasury@kilpatrickstockton.com))  
PNC Bank – Listserve ([PNC\\_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:PNC_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com))  
Suntrust – Listserve ([SunTrust\\_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:SunTrust_DataTreasury@mckoolsmith.com))  
U.S. Bancorp – Listserve ([Foley-DTC@foley.com](mailto:Foley-DTC@foley.com))  
Wacovia 007 – Listserve ([Wachovia\\_DataTreasury@kilpatrickstockton.com](mailto:Wachovia_DataTreasury@kilpatrickstockton.com))  
Wells Fargo – Listserve ([\\*DalWellsFargo\\_DTC@BakerNet.com](mailto:*DalWellsFargo_DTC@BakerNet.com))  
Lance Lee ([wlancelee@aol.com](mailto:wlancelee@aol.com))

*/s/ Lance Lee*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lance Lee