Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

DATA TREASURY CORPORATION,	§	
	§	CAUSE NO. 2:06-CV-72 DF
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
WELLS FARGO & CO., et al.	§	
	§	
Defendants	§	

AGREED ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS

On this day came on for consideration by the Court the Joint Motion for Extension of Time for Defendants to File Responsive Pleadings filed by Data Treasury Corporation ("Plaintiff") and the Defendants listed in Exhibit "A" of that Motion (collectively "Defendants"). Having considered the motion and the relief sought therein, the Court finds that the motion should be GRANTED. Accordingly, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. None of the Defendants are required to file any responsive pleading to the Original Complaint filed in this matter.
- 2. Defendants have until and including June 1, 2006, to answer or otherwise plead in response to the Amended Complaint filed in this matter.
- 3. Plaintiff need not formally serve the Defendants with the Amended Complaint and may serve counsel for each Defendant informally by service on Tom Melsheimer and/or Brett Johnson of Fish & Richardson P.C. (Counsel for the Bank of America entities) who shall promptly distribute the Amended Complaint to in-house, and to the extent retained, outside counsel, for the Defendants.

AGREED ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS - Page 1

۷	4. Defendants shall not seek a further extension of the June 1, 2006 deadli				
		answering or otherwise filing responsive pleadings as to the Amended Complaint.			
IT IS S	O OR	DERED.			
Signed t	his	day of April, 2006.			
			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
AGREF	ED:				
	TI.	M M 11 '			
		as M. Melsheimer elsheimer,			
Counsel	for B	ank of America Defendants with			
Authorit To Moti		n Defendants Listed in Exhibits "A"			
10 Mou	OII				

/s/ Karl Rupp
Karl Rupp,
Counsel for Plaintiff Data Treasury Corp.